6202



leading only to the acetyl-trichloromethyl pair at the expense of any silyl-trichloromethyl pair.11a

The mechanism proposed in Scheme II for acylsilane photolysis is analogous to one proposed earlier for the photolysis of diisopropyl ketone (DIK) in CCl₄.^{12,13} The favored mechanism in the DIK studies involves nonradiative decay of a complex from DIK (S_1) and CCl_4 (exciplex). The DIK $\cdot CCl_4$ exciplex selectively collapses to form acetyl chloride and an isopropyl-trichloromethyl singlet radical pair.

Regardless of the mechanism for its formation, the key intermediate in Scheme II is the singlet acetyl-trichloromethyl radical pair 6. Cage recombination of 6 should yield trichloroacetone which exhibits enhanced absorption (as is observed). The cage escaped product, acetyl chloride, is observed in the CIDNP spectrum in emission, as predicted by application of the CKO rules.9,10 The product distribution temperature dependence is also accommodated by this scheme. At low temperatures, the cage recombination product of 6, trichloroacetone, is favored. At higher temperatures, cage escape is favored, as expected,¹⁴ and the relative proportion of escape product, acetyl chloride, increases accordingly.

The photolysis of 1 in solvents other than CCl₄ takes an entirely different course. Photolysis of 1 in benzyl chloride, for example, results in a complex product mixture as well as a complex CIDNP spectrum. However, the signals from the methyl groups of the silyl compound 4, which were observed unpolarized during the photolysis of 1 in CCl₄, clearly exhibit emission during the photolysis of 1 in benzyl chloride. This observation is consistent with a mechanism involving formation of an authentic silyl-acyl triplet pair, from which the silyl chloride is an escape product.

Our observations force the conclusion that CCl₄ plays an active role in the photochemistry of acylsilanes. In fact, it seems likely that the type I cleavage to radicals is

(14) For a comprehensive review of the chemistry of "caged" radicals, see J. P. Lorand, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 17, 207 (1972).

avoided when CCl₄ is used as a solvent for these photollyses.

Acknowledgments. We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Mr. John Green and Mr. George Dubay in the nmr experiments.

> Ned A. Porter,* P. M. Iloff, Jr. Paul M. Gross Chemical Laboratories. Duke University Durham, North Carolina 27706 Received June 21, 1974

Some Unusually Long-Lived α -Aminoalkyl Radicals¹

Sir:

We have recently shown that long-lived alkyl radicals can be prepared by addition of a wide variety of simple radicals to 1,1-di-tert-butylethylene.² The resulting 2substituted l,l-di-tert-butylethyl radicals have provided important insights into problems of alkyl radical conformation³ and kinetics.⁴ As a logical outgrowth of this work, we have generated a number of long-lived α -aminoalkyls by radical additions to di-*tert*-butylimine, t-Bu₂C==NH, and pivalonitrile, t-BuC==N. Some of the radicals produced had quite unexpected structures and some have epr hyperfine couplings that are quite different from those expected from earlier epr studies of simple α -aminoalkyl radicals.⁵⁻⁹

A number of radicals that add readily to t-Bu₂C==CH₂ gave no epr signals attributable to addition to the imine $(e.g., C_6H_5, C_6F_5, and CCl_3)$ or nitrile $(e.g., C_6H_5, C_6H_5)$ ĊF₃, CF₃O, and SiCl₃).^{10,11} However, both trimethylsilyl, Me₃Si, and diethoxyphosphonyl, (EtO)₂-PO,¹² added readily to yield the radicals listed in Table I. Addition to the imine gives initially a monoadduct, as expected. What were not expected, were the diadducts produced after a few minutes of photolysis.

$$t-Bu_2C = NH + R \cdot \longrightarrow t-Bu_2\dot{C}NHR \xrightarrow{(-H \cdot)} t-Bu_2C = NR \xrightarrow{R \cdot} t-Bu_2\dot{C}NR_2$$

The diadduct structure is certain for $R = (EtO)_2 PO$ but with Me₃Si a number of long-lived radicals were formed that so complicated the spectra that structural assignment was impossible. However, with R = n-Bu₃Si a radical containing nitrogen that we believe was the diadduct was identified.

Although the phosphonyl radical adds to t-BuC==N

(1) Issued as N.R.C.C. No. 14249.

- D. Griller and K. U. Ingold, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95, 6459 (1973).
 D. Griller and K. U. Ingold, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., in press.

(4) G. D. Mendenhall, D. Griller, D. Lindsay, T. T. Tidwell, and K. U. Ingold, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96, 2441 (1974)

(5) D. E. Wood and R. V. Lloyd, J. Chem. Phys., 52, 3840 (1970); ibid., 53, 3932 (1970).

(6) P. Neta and R. W. Fessenden, J. Phys. Chem., 75, 738 (1971).

(7) R. O. C. Norman and N. H. Anderson, J. Chem. Soc. B, 993 (1971).

(8) A. R. Lyons and M. C. R. Symons, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2, 68, 502 (1972).

(9) For epr spectra of radicals from amino acids and amides see, e.g., H. Paul and H. Fischer, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 73, 972 (1969); R. Poupko, A. Lowenstein, and B. L. Silver, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93,

580 (1971). (10) A referee has pointed out that addition may occur but remain

unobserved by epr because the adduct radical is unstable. Such processes might be probed by chemical analysis.

(11) We were also unsuccessful in attempts to add $(CH_{\tt 3})_{\tt 3}C\cdot$ and $(CH_3)_3Sn \cdot$ to these compounds.

(12) Generated by photolysis of di-tert-butyl peroxide solutions containing Me₃SiH or (EtO)₂POH.

⁽¹¹a) NOTE ADDED IN PROOF. Professor A. G. Brook has informed us that fluorescence quenching of acyl silanes by CCl_4 has recently been observed in his laboratories. This is further support of the exciplex mechanism.

^{(12) (}a) J. A. denHollander, R. Kaptein, and P. A. T. M. Brand, Chem. Phys. Lett., 10, 430 (1971); (b) J. A. denHollander, Chem. Ind. (Belge), 36, 1083 (1971).

⁽¹³⁾ See also T. DoMinh, Chem. Ind. (Belge), 36, 1080 (1971).

Table I. Epr Parameters for Long-Lived α -Aminoalkyl Radicals at 23° (Hyperfine Couplings in Gauss)

Radical	ga	a^{N}	a ^H NH	a ^R NR	a ^R CR	a^{13C}	a ^H -t-Bu
t-Bu ₂ ĊNHSiMe ₃	2.0024	1.28	16.57	16.21		8.89°	0.25
t-Bu ₂ CNHSi-n-Bu ₃	2.0024	1.24	15.25	d		d	0.23
$t-Bu_2\dot{C}N(Si-n-Bu_3)_2$		2.91*					
Ad(Me ₃ Si)CN(SiMe ₃) ₂	2.0022	2.0		23.5 ^b		36.51	
(Me ₃ Si) ₂ CN(SiMe ₃) ₂	2.0025	2.05		20.5 ^{b,g}	14.30.0	$2.0^{g,h}$	0.20^{i}
t-Bu ₂ CNH[(EtO) ₂ PO]	2.0023	3.30	23.58	57.79 <i>i</i>		10.46°	0.35
t-Bu ₂ CN[(EtO) ₂ PO] ₂	2.0022	4.09		56.58i		9.90°	0.17
t-Bu[(EtO) ₂ PO]ĊN[(EtO) ₂ PO] ₂	2.0022	3.53		46.6 <i>i</i>	39 .4 <i>i</i>		0.40

^a Calculated from the Breit-Rabi equation. ^{b 29}Si. ^c γ^{13} C of t-Bu groups, i.e., (CH₃)₃C. ^d Could not be determined because of strong signal attributed to t-Bu₂CN(Si-n-Bu₃)₂. ^e The presence of other long-lived radicals allowed only the N coupling to be measured. ^f α -¹³C, ^e Estimated using computer simulation. ^h Six ¹³C, presumably due to Me₃Si groups on C rather than to those on N. ⁱ a^{H} of Me₃Si, presumably the Me₃Si groups on C. i^{31} P.

to give the expected triadduct, the trimethylsilyl radical

$$t$$
-BuC \equiv N + 3 $\dot{R} \longrightarrow t$ -Bu(R) \dot{C} NR₂

replaces the tert-butyl group and gives a tetraadduct.

$$-BuC \equiv N + 4Me_3Si \cdot \longrightarrow (Me_3Si)_2CN(SiMe_3)_2$$

This same radical is produced by addition of Me₃Si. to benzyl cyanide and isopropyl cyanide. It is the longest lived of all the radicals reported here (as we might expect from a comparison of (Me₃Si)₃C and *t*-Bu₃C radicals).⁴ For example, a *ca*. 10^{-3} M solution (from benzyl cyanide) decayed to ca. 3 \times 10⁻⁴ M in 5 days at 25° while a ca. 10^{-5} M solution (from t- $BuC \equiv N$) had not observably decayed in 3 weeks at 25°. In contrast, t-Bu₂CN[(EtO)₂PO]₂ at ca. 10^{-4} M had a half-life of ca. 8 hr; t-Bul(EtO), POCNI(EtO), POl₂ decayed with first-order kinetics and a half-life of 29 min, and the t-Bu₂CNHR radicals decay in less than 1 sec.

With 1-adamantyl cyanide only a triadduct was obtained (Table I), while acetonitrile yielded an extremely stable radical containing no nitrogen.13 Since only "stabilized" alkyl groups are lost the most probable route to tetra(trimethylsilyl)aminomethyl involves α scission of the iminoyl radical^{14,15} formed in the initial step.

t-BuC \equiv N + Me₃Si · \longrightarrow t-BuCNSiMe₃ \longrightarrow t-Bu · + CNSiMe₃

The isocyanide then adds¹⁸ three Me₃Si · radicals, one after the other.

 $CNSiMe_3 + 3MeSi \rightarrow (Me_3Si)_2\dot{C}N(SiMe_3)_2$

Several features of Table I deserve comment. Thus, although the a^{N} values are similar to those found in analogous unhindered α -aminoalkyl radicals (normally $4 < a^{\rm N} < 7$ G) the $a^{\rm H}_{\rm NH}$ values are very much larger than normal $(0 < a^{H}_{NH} < 6 \text{ G})$.¹⁹ According to Lyons and Symons,⁸ the unhindered α -aminoalkyls are most probably planar at the α -C and close to planar at N. They adopt conformation 1 since interaction of the unpaired electron with the lone pair dominates conformation. The spin density on N is presumably positive while that on the amino H is presumably negative.⁸

(17) T. Saegusa, Y. Ito, N. Yasuda, and T. Hotaka, J. Org. Chem., 35, 4238 (1970).

(19) For example, $\dot{C}H_2NHCH_3$, $a^N = 5.84$, $a^H_{NH} = 6.35 \text{ G}^6$; $\dot{C}H_2$ -NHC₂H₅, $a^{N} = 6.3$, $a^{H}_{NH} \sim 0 G^{5}$; CH₃CHNHC₂H₅, $a^{N} = 4.8$, $a^{H}_{NH} \sim$ 0.9 G⁵; CH₃ĊHN(C₂H₅)₂, $a^{N} = 5.18$ G.⁵



1, R_1 , R_2 , and R small 2, R_1 , $R_2 = t$ -Bu, etc; $R = Me_3Si$, etc

For all our radicals, the splitting constants are virtually independent of temperature (40 to -90°), suggesting that they exist in a "locked" conformation. Since steric effects should dominate all other interactions $(cf. t-Bu_2CCH_2R)$,^{2,3} these radicals should adopt conformation 2.²⁰ The α -C should be planar (cf. the $a^{1^{3}C_{\alpha}}$ value for Ad(Me₃Si)ĊN(SiMe₃)₂) and the N pyramidal, but probably not tetrahedral, *i.e.*, $0^{\circ} < \theta < 60^{\circ}$. The N may even be inverting rapidly. The spin density on N will now be negative and that on the amino H large and positive. In $R_1R_2\dot{C}N(R)H$ the R group is optimally positioned for hyperconjugative interaction with the unpaired electron, yet the extent of hyperconjugation (as measured by a^{R}_{NR})^{2,3} is only about half of that found in structurally related t-Bu₂CCH₂R radicals.²¹ This may reflect specific differences between the two types of radical (e.g., the greater electronegativity of N or the presence of its lone pair). Further epr studies on a wider variety of α -aminoalkyls would be desirable.

(20) The low g values found for some of our radicals also support this conformation. See, e.g., T. Kawamura and J. K. Kochi, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94, 648 (1972); T. Kawamura, D. J. Edge, and J. K. Kochi, ibid., 94, 1752 (1972).

(21) For example, ³ t-Bu₂ĊCH₂SiMe₃, $a^{Si} = 35.02$ G; t-Bu₂ĊCH₂P- $(OEt)_2O, a^P = 109.4 G.$

(22) N.R.C.C. Postdoctorate Fellow 1973-1974.

R. A. Kaba,²² D. Griller,²² K. U. Ingold* Division of Chemistry, National Research Council of Canada Ottawa, Ontario, Canada KIA OR9 Received April 24, 1974

Novel Routes to New, Long-Lived 1,1,2,2-Tetrasubstituted Ethyl Radicals of Unusual Conformation¹

Sir

We wish to report the formation of some highly hindered 1,1,2,2-tetrasubstituted ethyl radicals. Not

(1) Issued as N.R.C.C. No. 14248,

⁽¹³⁾ D. Griller and K. U. Ingold, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96, 6203 (1974). (14) For first epr identification of iminopl's see W. C. Danen and C. T. West, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95, 6872 (1973).

⁽¹⁵⁾ The β-scission of such radicals is known. ¹⁰⁻¹⁸
(16) H. Ohta and K. Tokamaru, Chem. Commun., 1601 (1970).

⁽¹⁸⁾ L. A. Singer and S. S. Kim, Tetrahedron Lett., 861 (1974).